arXiv:1302.6698v2 [quant-ph] 3 Mar 2013

Compact multipartite Bell inequalities for multiphoton experiments

Yu-Chun Wu[1], Marek Żukowski[2,3] and Guang-Can Guo[1]
[1]Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, University of Science and Technology of China, 230026 Hefei, China
[2]Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Gdańsk, PL-80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
[3] Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale and Department of
Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, 230026 Hefei, China

We find a structural feature of Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt (CHSH) type Bell inequalities which relates CHSH-type Bell inequalities for different number of observers. With the feature one can in principle derive all kinds of CHSH type Bell inequalities, increasing step by step the number of observers. As an application, for example, we derive an eight-party inequality involving just four terms which can be used to show a gross violation of local realism, or entanglement, in the eight-photon experiment of Huang et al. [Nat. Commun. **2**:546 (2011)]. The inequality requires much less experimental effort than standard methods (by a factor of 64 in the case of a Bell test).

Quantum mechanics is incompatible with local realism [1]. Bell inequalities are used to reveal such kind of incompatibility. The more Bell inequalities we know, the more we know about the boundaries between Einstein's classical local realism and the genuinely non-classical areas of quantum physics, which are potentially useful in quantum infromation applications. E.g., Bell inequalities gained a potential utilitarian power in different quantum information processing tasks, such as in quantum key distribution(QKD)[15], computation complexity [16, 17] and recently random number generation [18], etc.

For N observers, each choosing between two local dichotomic observables, the complete set of the tight Bell inequalities was obtained [2, 3]. Such inequalities have a common structure [4]. However, in the case of more complicated situations (more local settings, more parties, or more measurement outcomes), it is still an open task to obtain the complete set of tight Bell inequalities.

Many methods were put forward to find the Bell inequalities for different situations, such as using the algebraic properties of local observables [5, 6], or the stabilizer group of quantum states [7], etc.. An important method bases on the fact that the set of local realistic models forms a polytope [8], usually called the correlation polytope, whose vertices are the deterministic events. The facets of correlation polytope define tight Bell inequalities. Despite the fact that all vertices of such a correlation polytope are known, it is difficult to determine all its faces [9]. In simpler cases, by a numerical computation, one can obtain all facets of correlation polypte [10, 11]. The geometrical structure of correlation polytopes can be used to find some new Bell inequalities for more complicated cases [4, 12–14].

Observations of violations of local realism in the case of multipartite correlations are a challenging task. On one hand, generation of multipartite entangled states is a challenge itself. Usually, polarization entangled states are generated [19]. The two-photon polarization entangled state (Bell states) can be generated using the technique announced in[20]. Using methods put forward in [21], the three-photon GHZ state can be generated [22]. Pan's group generated five-photon [23] and six-photon [24] GHZ states. Recently, an eight photons GHZ entanglement was generated [25, 26].

On the other hand, finding handy Bell inequalities for multipartite quantum states is also a challenge. In this work we find a structural feature of CHSH-type Bell inequalities for the multipartite case with local binary observables. A relation of CHSH-type Bell inequalities for different cases is shown. We give a tool allowing to extend the CHSH-type Bell inequalities starting from a low number of parties. As an application, a method to obtain four-term multiparty CHSH-type Bell inequalities is shown. We obtain an eight-party CHSH-type Bell inequality which has only four terms. This inequality is violated by an eight-party GHZ state with a violation factor of 2. Hence it is handy, as it involves just few measurements, e.g. in the case of the recently generated eight-photon GHZ state [25, 26] it allows to reduce the number of (global) measurement settings from 256 (in the case of the standard Bell inequalities) to just 4. Note, that violations of Bell inequalities indicate reductions of communication complexity in various protocols [17], while entanglement witnesses, basically, just detect entanglement. Still, the Bell inequality is easier to test than the entanglement witness actually used in the experiment [25], which required 9 global settings. This has to be related with the fact that e.g. gathering enough data for a good statistics for a single global setting, in the case of the experiments, required around 10^2 hrs.

Let us recall some facts about Bell inequalities and the correlation polytope. In the case of two parties, Alice and Bob, and $\{\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \ldots, \hat{A}_M\}$ and $\{\hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2, \ldots, \hat{B}_N\}$ representing dichotomic local observables that they can choose, we shall denote the (hidden) local realistic measurement outcomes as a_i and b_j, for $\hat{A}_i$ and $\hat{B}_j$ respectively. We call this case an $M \times N$ one. For more parties the generalization is obvious. Usually, the Bell inequalities have the following form:

$$\sum_i \alpha_i a_i + \sum_j \beta_j b_j + \sum_{ij} \gamma_{ij} a_i b_j \leq B, \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_j,$ and γ_{ij} are real coefficients; B is the bound for the local realistic theories. In this general expression, there are lower order correlation coefficients for a_i and b_j and higher correlation coefficients for $a_i b_j$. Inequalities with nonzero lower order correlation coefficients are often called Clauser-Horne (CH) type Bell inequalities [27], while inequalities involving the highest order correlations only are usually called Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt-type (CHSH) Bell inequalities [28]. Homogenization and dehomogenization procedures establish a connection between CHSH-type and CH-type Bell inequalities [29].

From the point of view of the correlation polytope methods, the CHSH-type Bell inequalities correspond faces of the full correlations polytope. We denote them as F_{MN}, for two-party case above. The inequalities associated with facets (the faces of maximal dimension, that is such that the number of linearly independent vertices on the face equals to the dimension of the polytope) of full correlation polytope are tight CHSH-type Bell inequalities.

Vectors representing vertices of a full correlation polytope F_{MN} are expressible by the following tensor product

$$\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_M) \otimes (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N), \tag{2}$$

where a_i and b_j are ± 1. Thus the full correlation CHSH-type polytope rests in an MN dimensional real space. It has an inversion symmetry about the origin, no face of the polytope may cross the origin, the number of vertices is 2^{M+N-1}. Its faces are defined by a *homogeneous* linear equation:

$$\sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} a_i b_j = 1, \tag{3}$$

where α_{ij} are real coefficients, and for all vertices out of the face the left hand side must be strictly smaller than 1. The associated Bell inequalities are tight CHSH-type Bell inequalities, if MN linearly independent vertices satisfy the Eqs (3).

There are lots of Bell inequalities, some of them equivalent. Bell inequalities are equivalent if they can be transformed to each other by the following operations:

- changing the sign of variables: $X_i \to -X_i$,
- permuting the variables: $X_i \to \sigma(X)_i$,
- permuting the subscript of the variable: $X_i \to X_{\sigma(i)}$,

where X denotes a local realistic result for any party(e.g. $X_i = a_i$ or $X_i = b_i$).

It is obvious that equivalent inequalities share properties, such as the maximal violation factors, the states violating them, and so on. It is enough to investigate one representative of an equivalence class of Bell inequalities. For example, in the 2×2 case, the CHSH inequality is the only nontrivial one. In the 3×3 case, there is only one nontrivial new CH-type Bell inequality [10]. In the following, we consider equivalence classes of Bell inequalities.

To illustrate our basic idea, for the sake of simplicity, let us consider the three parties case. Assume that the observers can choose between K, M and N binary local measurements, respectively. For more parties the generalization is straightforward.

Let $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_K)$, $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_M)$ and $\vec{c} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N)$ be the vectors of the measurement outcomes of the three parties respectively. The vertices of correlation polytope F_{KMN} are

$$\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \otimes \vec{c} = \\ (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_K) \otimes (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_M) \otimes (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N).$$

The general equation of a face has the form of

$$I = I(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) = \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_{ijk} a_i b_j c_k = c_1 f_1 + \cdots + c_N f_N = 1, \tag{4}$$

where $f_i^{\prime}$s are functions of a_i, b_j.

By induction with respect to N, it is easy to show that the Eq (4) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} I = \quad & \frac{-(N-3)c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_N}{2}(f_1 + \cdots + f_N) \\ & + \frac{c_1 - c_2}{2}(f_1 - f_2 + \cdots + f_N) \\ & + \cdots \\ & + \frac{c_1 - c_N}{2}(f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{N-1} - f_N). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

If one takes N linearly independent vectors, built out of some possible values of c_i, that is of the form $\vec{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_N)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{c}_1 &= (1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1), \\ \vec{c}_2 &= (1, -1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1), \\ & \cdots \\ \vec{c}_{N-1} &= (1, 1, 1, \ldots, -1, 1), \\ \vec{c}_N &= (1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, -1), \end{aligned}$$

then one can rewrite the values of I in (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} I(\vec{c}_1) &= f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_N, \\ I(\vec{c}_2) &= f_1 - f_2 + \cdots + f_N, \\ & \cdots \\ I(\vec{c}_N) &= f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{N-1} - f_N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $I = 1$ is a face of polytope F_{KMN}, that is $I(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) \leq 1$ for all vertices $\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \otimes \vec{c}$, and for some vertices on the face $I = 1$, then for any $\vec{a}, \vec{b}$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_N &\leq 1, \\ f_1 - f_2 + \cdots + f_N &\leq 1, \\ & \cdots \\ f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{N-1} - f_N &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

One can always choose an equivalent face $I' = c_1 f_1' + \cdots + c_N f_N'$ by changing the sign of c_i in I, in such a way that at least one of inequalities definad by $f_1' + \cdots + f_N', f_1' - f_2' + \cdots + f_N', \ldots, f_1' + f_2' + \cdots + f_{N-1}' - f_N'$ defines a face of a polytope F_{KM} (describing correlations for just Alice and Bob). This is so, because changing the sign of c_i in I leads to some vertices $\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \otimes \vec{c}_i$ on face I'.

Eq (5) reveals a structural feature of CHSH-type Bell inequalities for different number of parties. It links the CHSH-type Bell inequalities for F_{KM} with those for F_{KMN}. Hence, it provides a method to extend CHSH-type Bell inequalities into the case of one more party, with N binary local observables.

If one takes N faces of correlation polytope F_{KM}, denoted each as $B_k(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ for $k = 1, \ldots, N$ and denotes the face equations by $B_k(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) = 1$, and constructs

$$I = \frac{-(N-3)c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_N}{2} B_1 + \frac{c_1 - c_2}{2} B_2 + \cdots + \frac{c_1 - c_N}{2} B_N, \qquad (6)$$

and if additionally one has $I \leq 1$ for all vertices $\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \otimes \vec{c}$, then $I = 1$ is a face of F_{KMN}.

Moreover, if $B_k = 1, k = 1, \ldots N$ are defining facets, namely there are KM linearly independent vertices $\{\vec{a}_{ki} \otimes \vec{b}_{ki} | i = 1, \ldots, KM\}$ such that $B_k = 1$, and $I \leq 1$ for all vertices $\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \otimes \vec{c}$, then we have KMN linearly independent vertices

$$\{\vec{a}_{ki} \otimes \vec{b}_{ki} \otimes \vec{c}_k\},\ i = 1, \ldots, KM,\ k = 1, \ldots, N,$$

at the face defined by $I = 1$, hence $I = 1$ defines a facet. In other words, $I \leq 1$ is a tight CHSH-type Bell inequality.

In this way, knowing some CHSH-type Bell inequalities for $n-1$ parties, we can obtain inequalities for n parties.

We must stress that for $N = 2$ we get a necessary and sufficient condition. Namely, $B_1 = 1$ and $B_2 = 1$ are defining facets of polytope F_{KM} if and only if

$$I = \frac{1}{2}(c_1(B_1 + B_2) + c_2(B_1 - B_2)) = 1, \qquad (7)$$

is a facet of polytope F_{KM2} [4]. This result completely describes the correspondence between the facets of F_{KM} and the facets of F_{KM2}.

Notice that if B_1 and B_2 in Eqs (7) both have the same four terms but two terms have opposite sign then I has four terms as well. Using this property, we can obtain CHSH-type Bell inequalities for multipartite cases involving just few terms, which is very handy (especially for the experimenters).

In the following we list CHSH-type inequalities involving three, four, five, six, seven and eight parties, all of which have four terms. They are violated by associated multipartite GHZ states with a violation factor of 2.

$$\frac{1}{2}|a_1b_1c_1 - a_1b_2c_2 - a_2b_1c_2 - a_2b_2c_1| \leq 1, \qquad (8)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|a_1b_1c_1d_1 + a_1b_2c_2d_2 + a_2b_1c_2d_1 - a_2b_2c_1d_2| \leq 1, \qquad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|a_1b_1c_1d_1e_1 + a_2b_2c_2d_1e_2 - a_2b_2c_1d_2e_1 + a_1b_1c_2d_2e_2| \leq 1, \qquad (10)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|a_1b_1c_1d_1e_1f_1 - a_2b_2c_1d_2e_1f_1 + a_2b_2c_2d_1e_2f_2 + a_1b_1c_2d_2e_2f_2| \leq 1, \qquad (11)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|a_1b_1c_1d_1e_1f_1g_1 - a_2b_2c_1d_2e_1f_1g_1 + a_2b_2c_2d_1e_2f_2g_2 + a_1b_1c_2d_2e_2f_2g_2| \leq 1, \qquad (12)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|a_1b_1c_1d_1e_1f_1g_1h_1 - a_2b_2c_1d_2e_1f_1g_1h_1 + a_2b_2c_2d_1e_2f_2g_2h_2 + a_1b_1c_2d_2e_2f_2g_2h_2| \leq 1. \qquad (13)$$

For inequalities (8) to (13), the bounds for local realistic theory are 1, while their algebraic bound is 2 (by an algebraic bound we mean the maximal value obtained if all correlation functions are given values ±1, that is, simply the sum of moduli of all coefficients). The associated multipartite GHZ states violate them maximally.

In Ref [25], the entanglement of the observed eight-qubit state was detected with an entanglement witnesses. There were no handy CHSH-type Bell inequalities for experiment. Standard Bell inequalities contain a lot of terms, for example, the eight-party Mermin-Ardehali-Belinskii-Klyshko (MABK) inequality has $2^8 = 256$ terms[5]. According to Huang et al. [25], it took about 70 hours to obtain an expectation value of one term, hence it is a ‘mission impossible’ of to use a MABK inequality to violate local realism (the same holds for the universal inequality, encompassing the MABK ones, introduced in [2, 3]). Therefore, an entanglement witness with 9 terms was chosen. Effectiveness of entanglement indicators can be estimated by a term which we call critical visibility, v_{crit}. It is such a value of v in the mixture

$$v|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| + (1-v)\rho_{noise} \qquad (14)$$

for which the given Bell inequality (or entanglement witness) stops to detect the entanglement present in the state $|\Psi\rangle$. The operator ρ_{noise} represents the random noise state (the completely mixed one). It is a unit operator divided by the dimension of the Hilbert space for the considered system. The lower is the value v_{crit} the more robust is the given entanglement indicator. Our inequality (13) has 4 terms and the required visibility is 0.5, thus its violation can be more robust than in the case of the 9-term entanglement witness used in the experiment, for which $v_{crit} = 0.6$. In this context please note that it is well known that in general an entangled mixed state may admit a local realistic model in some experimental situations [30].

Let us see more interesting examples. For a trivial case of a single party with M observables, the facets of correlation polytope F_M are $|a_i| = 1$, and the faces are

$$p_1 a_1 + p_2 a_2 + \cdots + p_M a_M = 1, \quad \sum_i |p_i| = 1.$$

In this case there are no nontrivial facets. Using Eq (6), we can get the CHSH-type Bell inequalities for more parties.

Consider a 4×4 case. Take 4 trivial faces of F_4 for Bob

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{2}{3}b_2 + \frac{1}{3}b_3, \quad B_2 = -\frac{1}{3}b_1 + \frac{1}{3}b_2 - \frac{1}{3}b_4, \\ B_3 &= -\frac{1}{3}b_1 + \frac{1}{3}b_2 + \frac{1}{3}b_4, \quad B_4 = \frac{1}{3}b_2 + \frac{2}{3}b_3. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{-a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4}{2} B_1 + \frac{a_1 - a_2}{2} B_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1 - a_3}{2} B_3 + \frac{a_1 - a_4}{2} B_4 \le 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get a CHSH-type Bell inequality of the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_{44} = |\frac{1}{6}(&-2a_1b_1 + a_2b_1 + a_3b_1 + a_1b_2 \\ &+ a_1b_3 + a_2b_2 + a_2b_3 + a_3b_2 + a_3b_3 \\ &+ a_4b_2 - a_4b_3 + a_2b_4 - a_3b_4)| \le 1. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

This inequality is a tight CHSH-type Bell inequality (it has been derived by Collins and Gisin using a numerical method [31]). By the way, inequality (15) is a homogenization of a CH-type Bell inequality for the case 3×3, derived by Śliwa in [10]. This example shows that it is possible to obtain the tight CHSH-type Bell inequalities from non-tight CHSH-type Bell inequalities for lower dimensional cases.

In summary, we have obtained a structural feature of CHSH-type Bell inequalities, which allows to construct experimentally friendly CHSH-type Bell inequalities for multipartite cases. In principle, it is possible to obtain all kinds of Bell inequalities (both CHSH-type and CH-type). In [29], homogenization and dehomogenization procedures, which provide transformations between CHSH-type Bell inequalities and CH-type Bell inequalities, were introduced. Namely, any CH-type Bell inequalities can be transformed into a CHSH-type Bell inequalities by homogenization; while CH-type Bell inequalities can be obtained by dehomogenizing CHSH-type Bell inequalities. Thus, according to Eq (6), we can construct CHSH-type inequalities from single party to multiparty step by step, and we can get CH-type Bell inequalities by dehomogenization procedure.

Y.-C. Wu acknowledges the useful discussion with Prof. C.-F. Li. The work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grants No. 2011CBA00200 and No. 2011CB921200) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10974193, 11275182, 60921091). MZ acknowledges support of MNiSW Grant IdP2011 000361 (Ideas Plus), and a CAS visiting professorship at USTC.

[1] J. S. Bell, Physics, **1**, 195 (1964).
[2] R. F. Werner and M. M. Wolf, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 032112 (2001).
[3] H. Weinfurter and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 010102 (2001); M. Żukowski and C. Brukner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 210401 (2002).
[4] Y. C. Wu, P. Badziag and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 022110 (2009).
[5] N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1838 (1990); M. Ardehali, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 5375 (1992); A. V. Belinskii and D. N. Klyshko, Phys. Usp. **36**, 653 (1993).
[6] X.-H. Wu and H.-S. Zong, Phys. Lett. A **307**, 262 (2003); X.-H. Wu and H.-S. Zong, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 032102(2003); W. Laskowski,T. Paterek, M. Żukowski and C. Brukner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 200401 (2004).
[7] O. Gühne, G. Tóth, P. Hyllus and H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. L **95**, 120405 (2005); G. Tóth, O. Gühne and H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 022303 (2006); C. Wu, Y. Yeo, L. C. Kwek and C. H. Oh, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 032332 (2007); A. Cabello, O. Gühne and D. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 062106 (2008);
[8] I. Pitowsky, Quantum Probability, Quantum Logic, Lecture Notes in Physcis 321, Heidelberg, and Springer, 1989;
[9] I. Pitowsky, Mathematical Programming 50, 395 (1991).
[10] C. Sliwa, Phys. Lett. A **317**, 165 (2003).
[11] I. Pitowsky and K. Svozil, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 014102 (2001).
[12] S. Pironio, J. Math. Phys. **46**, 062112 (2005).
[13] D. Avis, H. Imai and T. Ito, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **38**, 10971-10987 (2005); D. Avis, H. Imai and T. Ito, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **39**, 11283-11299 (2006).
[14] Y. C. Wu, P. Badziag, M. Wiesniak and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 032105 (2008).
[15] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991); V. Scarani and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**. 117901 (2001).
[16] A. Sen(De), U. Sen and M. Zukowski, Phys. Rev. A**68**, 032309 (2003).
[17] Č. Brukner, M. Żukowski, J.-W. Pan, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 127901 (2004).
[18] S. Pironio *et al*, Nature 464, 1021 (2010).
[19] J. W. Pan, Z. B. Chen, C. Y. Lu, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger and M. Żukowski, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 777 (2012)
[20] P. G. Kwiat, K. Mattle, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, A. V. Sergienko and Y. H. Shih, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4337 (1995).
[21] M. Żukowski, A. Zeilinger and H. Weinfurter, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 755, 91 (1995).
[22] D. Bouwmeester, J.-W. Pan, M. Daniell, H. Weinfurter and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1345 (1999).
[23] Z. Zhao, Y.-A. Chen, A.-N. Zhang, T. Yang, H. J. Briegel and J.-W. Pan, Nature **430** 54 (2004).

[24] C.-Y. Lu, X.-Q. Zhou, O. Guehne, W. B. Gao, J. Zhang, Z.-S. Yuan, A. Goebel, T. Yang and J.-W. Pan, Nat. Phys. **3** 507 (2007).

[25] Y.-F. Huang, B.-H. Liu, L. Peng, Y.-H. Li, L. Li, C.-F. Li and G.-C. Guo, Nat. Commun. **2**:546 (2011).

[26] Y. X. Yao et al, Nat. Photonics, **6** 225 (2011).

[27] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 526 (1974).

[28] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony and R. A. Holt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23** 880 (1969).

[29] Y. C. Wu and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 022119 (2012).

[30] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 4277 (1989).

[31] D. Collins and N. Gisin, J. Phys. A **37**,1775 (2004).